

SECRET

DD/S 71-4427

19 NOV 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Security

SUBJECT : Leaks of Intelligence Information to the Public  
Information MediaREFERENCE : Memo dtd 29 Oct 71 to DD/I, DD/S, DD/P, DD/S&T,  
GC, IG, LC, D/ONE, Deputy to the DCI/NIFE,  
SAVA, D/PPB fm A-ExDir-Compt, same subject

1. The additional Office of Security responsibility described in referent memorandum is of sufficient importance to warrant some type of regulatory coverage. This was discussed with Chief, Support Services Staff, who suggested the alternatives listed in Attachment A.

2. I believe the following actions should be taken:

25X1A

a. [ ] Office of Security Functions, should provide for this new responsibility. I suggest you prepare a suitable statement in collaboration with Chief/SSS that will result in amendment of the cited regulation.

b. In six months, circa 1 May 1972, review the cooperation received from the Directorates on the subject problem and determine if a Headquarters Notice (HN) might be useful in increasing the official attention given to leaks of intelligence information. It is possible, of course, that the referent memorandum is all that will be necessary to implement the program; consequently, a later HN, as suggested, may not be necessary. You will be in the best position to determine this.

25X1A

[ ]  
John W. Coffey  
Deputy Director  
for Support

Att A: Memo dtd 4 Nov 71 to EO-DD/S  
fm C/SSS, same subject

cc: Chief, Support Services Staff

SECRET

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Officer, DDS

SUBJECT : Leaks of Intelligence Information to the  
Public Information Media

Tex:

1. Regards your request for advice on attached memo, I'm not sure of the emphasis the DD/S and ExDir want to give this information.

2. Normally we do not put "procedural" information as contained in paragraph 2 into the Headquarters Regulations, but if we consider it a major item of interest, we do (i.e., procedures for security processing on separation of employees is now being written into the HR's).

3. At a minimum I would suggest the substance of paragraph 1 be put in the missions and functions statement [ ] of the Director of Security. Then depending upon the import and or desire for emphasis and wider distribution we have the following gradations:

25X1A

a. HN describing responsibilities and procedures - give it one year to see if action it triggers warrants incorporation into the regs, either as:

b. An amendment and rewrite of [ ] (responsibilities for compliance with Security regulations) or

25X1A

c. A separate paragraph in our Security regulations (which would give it maximum publicity).


d. There is no appropriate Security Handbook where this one would logically fit.

25X1A

[ ]  
Chief, Support Services Staff

Attachment

SECRET



SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION		
<input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET

### OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	D/S	19 NOV 1971	H/O
2	DD/Sec	11/23	SEP
3	C/ES	23 NOV 1971	P/H
4	C/EPD	23 NOV 1971	P
5	DC/EPD		
6			

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE

**Remarks:**

We will prepare statement  
+ send to 1 May 2 for  
review

Thank you —

Revised in  
EPP  
for 4/15/72

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
Executive Officer <i>P/H</i>	19 NOV 1971
<input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL
<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET	<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET